

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

25277 7590 07/31/2003 NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA 1500 MONTREAL ROAD BLDG M-58, ROOM EG12 OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1A 0R6	JICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA 1500 MONTREAL ROAD BLDG M-58, ROOM EG12 OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1A 0R6 CANADA REDDING, DAVID A ART UNIT PAPER N 1744 DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	0/068,936	02/11/2002	Mario Beland	11320-1	2321	
1500 MONTREAL ROAD BLDG M-58, ROOM EG12 OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1A 0R6 CANADA REDDING, DAVID A REDDING, DAVID A ART UNIT PAPER N 1744 DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	25277 75	90 07/31/2003				
BLDG M-58, ROOM EG12 OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1A 0R6 CANADA REDDING, DAVID A ART UNIT PAPER N 1744 DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	1500 MONTREAL ROAD BLDG M-58, ROOM EG12 OTTAWA, ONTARIO, KIA 0R6			EXAMD	EXAMINER	
CANADA ART UNIT PAPER N 1744 DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003				REDDING, DAVID A		
DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
>				1744	2	
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003		
	•			· L	-	
				1 ,		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H2-				
	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/068,936	BELAND, MARIO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David A Redding	1744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e c rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)		This action is non-final.					
/—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers		•				
9) 🗆 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
15) 🗆 A) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	* *					
Attachmen		A) 🔲 Jatan daw Coma	nany (PTO-413) Panar No(a)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3				

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 applicant specifies that the probe body for positioning **substantially** only the gas permeable tube inside the enclosure. However, from a reading of the specification it is unclear as to what this limitation defines. There is no description in the specification which even hints at what the above-mentioned limitation defines.

Claim 11 constitutes a process limitation. It is indefinite as to how the tube probe is further structurally defined by the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1744

4. Claims 1,6-12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Austin, G.D. et al.

The Austin et al. article discloses a probe (figure 1) which comprises a single piece of stainless steel tube, the interior of the tube constitutes the inlet channel, and the carrier gas feed line the outlet channel, a sealing mechanism in the form of flanged fitting with o-ring, a gas permeable tube positioned at the bottom of the tube. The probe tube, sealing mechanism and silicon tubing are positioned relative to one another such that substantially only the silicon tubing is positioned within the fermenter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1744

6. Claims 2-5,13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin et al.

Claims 2,3, and 14 define a supporting plate for supporting the silicon tubing. The Austin et al. article discloses a small cylindrical mesh sleeve which fits to the end of the tube for supporting the silicon tubing. This mesh sleeve is considered to be functionally equivalent to the claimed supporting plate.

Also, the use of adapters to connect two tubes of differing diameters is known and within the kin of the routineer.

In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. Accordingly, claim 15 is considered to be obvious in view of Austin et al.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Redding whose telephone number is 703-308-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th,Fr, 7:30-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744 Page 5

D.A.R. July 28, 2003